## FRANKLIN TOWNSHIP ZONING HEARING BOARD NOTICE OF APPEAL

(I) (We)_	(Na	ames)	
of	A. 7. 111		·
	(Mailing	g Address)	
the determinati	determination be made by the Zo ion of the Zoning Officer denying	ning Hearing Board on the following a Zoning Application on:	ng appeal fro
20 .			
This matter inv	volves:		
	ariance is requested from Section		•
Para	graph of the Zonin	g Ordinance.	
It is	a request for a variance relating to	o the:	
	area		
	frontage		
	yard		
	use		
or			
	(state if request is for purp	pose other than those listed above)	
It is	a special exception to the Zoning	Ordinance on which the Zoning H	learing Board
	quired to pass.	,	_
It is	an appeal for an interpretation of	f the Zoning Ordinance or Zoning M	Лар.
Provide the f nvolved and tw	following information and attach vo (2) sets of plans, drawn to scal	a copy of the current Deed(s) for the showing at least the following:	he property
a\	imensions of the property involve	ed:	
a). exact u	(including height) and location (	of any existing structures thereon;	
o) the size	(including height) and location (	of any proposed structures, include-	
ing the	number of dwelling units, if any,	to be provided:	
d) marking	spaces and /or loading facilities;		
u), parking	ation of any on-lot well and the se	paration distance from all build-	
inge and	i property lines; and		•
f) the locat	tion of any on-lot septic system a	and the separation distance from all	build-
	i property lines.		
_			
he description	of the property involved in this a	ppeal is as follows:	
ocation:			······

Zoning District:		
Lot Size:		
Present Use:		
Proposed Use:		<del> </del>
	·	٠

: .

			<del></del>
			·
Has any previous a	pplication or appeal b	een filed in connection v	vith this property?
	Yes	No	
address of the owner as			
	(Owner, ag	ent, lessee, etc.)	
What is the approximate Following are the names from the exterior limits of	(Owner, ag cost of the work invo and addresses of own of the property involve	ent, lessee, etc.)  ved?  ers of all property withing in this appeal as obtain	a distance of 300 feet
What is the approximate Following are the names from the exterior limits o	(Owner, ag cost of the work invo and addresses of own of the property involve	ent, lessee, etc.)  ved?  ers of all property withing in this appeal as obtainte sheet, if necessary):	a distance of 300 feet
What is the approximate Following are the names from the exterior limits of County Tax Assessment	(Owner, ag cost of the work invo and addresses of own of the property involve	ent, lessee, etc.)  ved?  ers of all property withing in this appeal as obtainte sheet, if necessary):	n a distance of 300 feet ned from the Carbon
What is the approximate Following are the names from the exterior limits of County Tax Assessment	(Owner, ag cost of the work invo and addresses of own of the property involve	ent, lessee, etc.)  ved?  ers of all property withing in this appeal as obtainte sheet, if necessary):	n a distance of 300 feet ned from the Carbon
What is the approximate Following are the names from the exterior limits of County Tax Assessment	(Owner, ag cost of the work invo and addresses of own of the property involve	ent, lessee, etc.)  ved?  ers of all property withing in this appeal as obtainte sheet, if necessary):	n a distance of 300 feet ned from the Carbon
What is the approximate Following are the names from the exterior limits of County Tax Assessment	(Owner, ag cost of the work invo and addresses of own of the property involve	ent, lessee, etc.)  ved?  ers of all property withing in this appeal as obtainte sheet, if necessary):	n a distance of 300 feet ned from the Carbon

.

.

•

The Zoning Hearing Board may grant a variance provided the following findings are made where relevant in a given case:

- (1). That there are unique physical circumstances or conditions, including irregularity, narrowness, or shallowness of lot size or shape or exceptional topographical or other physical conditions peculiar to the particular property, and that the unnecessary hardship is due to such conditions, and not the circumstances or conditions generally created by the provisions of the zoning ordinance in the neighborhood or district in which the property is located;
- (2). That because of such physical circumstances or conditions, there is no possibility that the property can be developed in strict conformity which the provisions of the zoning ordinance and that the authorization of a variance is therefore necessary to enable the reasonable use of the property;
- (3). That such unnecessary hardship has not been created by the appellant (s);
- (4). That the variance, if authorized, will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood or district in which the property is located, nor substantially or permanently impair the appropriate use or development of adjacent property, nor be detrimental to the public welfare; and
- (5). That the variance, if authorized, will represent the minimum variance that will afford relief and will represent the least modications possible of the regulation at issue.

In granting any variance, the Board may attach such reasonable conditions as it may deem necessary.